

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,380	02/06/2002		Aaron C. Mansfield	898-P-5	2585
75	90	05/06/2003			
Gregory J. Nelson				EXAMINER	
NELSON & RO Suite 212	DEDIGER		BINDA, GREGORY JOHN		
3333 E. Camelback Road					
Phoenix, AZ 8	5018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3679	1 [
				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/072,380

Mansfield et al

Examiner

Art Unit

	Greg Binda	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence addre	ss
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		H(S) FROM	l
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 mailing date of this communication. 	i (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	l after SIX (6) MONTH	S from the
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Office later than three months after the mailing content part of the Office later than three months after the Market part of the Office later than three months after the Market part of the Office later than three months after the Office later than three months after the Market part of the Office later than three months after the Office later than	Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	ng date of this commu S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a	ance except for formal matters, prose Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	cution as to the O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are	pending in the	application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fro	om consideration.
5) Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)			
8) 🔀 Claims <u>1-11</u>			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objecte	ed to by the Exa	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	<u>-</u>	•	•
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in		b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			 ·
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International *See the attached detailed Office action for a list	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National S	tage
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom		(e).	
a) \square The translation of the foreign language prov	·		
15) 🛛 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	O and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper		
2) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (6) Other:	(PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F10-1443) Paper No(s).	O/		

Application/Control Number: 10/072,380

Art Unit: 3679

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to an upper gearset support, classified in class 464,
 subclass 178.
 - II. Claim 11 is, drawn to a method of modifying a marine stern drive unit, classified in class 29, subclass 402.01+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process because the upper gearset support could be used in the new construction of a stern drive unit. Such a method would not necessarily need the removal of the drive shaft and/or replacing the top cover.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/072,380

Art Unit: 3679

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can

normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:30 am to 7:00 pm. The examiner can also

be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Lynne Browne, can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 (before final), (703) 872-

9327 (after final) and (703) 872-9325 (customer service).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

GREGORY J. BINDA

Page 3